


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,784	ISAJI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian J. Broadhead	3661	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Brian J. Broadhead</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Jim Barlow</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2005</u>	Time: <u>1pm</u>	
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Claim 8 and 12.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
<i>Permission was given to make the changes in the attached examiner's amendment.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)